

Planning Team Report

Wentworth LEP 2011 - Amendment 12 - Extension of sunset clause provisions and timeframes for items listed in Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses Wentworth LEP 2011 - Amendment 12 - Extension of sunset clause provisions and timeframes Proposal Title for items listed in Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses The planning proposal seeks to extend sunset period for an additional one (1) year until 16 Proposal Summary : December 2017 within Clause 4.2B(4) and the sunset provisions of items 1(3), 2(4), 3(4) and 4(4) of Schedule 1 Additional Permitted Uses of the WLEP 2011. PP_2016_WENTW_002_00 Dop File No 16/04070-1 PP Number : **Proposal Details** 14-Mar-2016 LGA covered : Wentworth Date Planning Proposal Received : RPA : Wentworth Shire Council Western Region : Section of the Act : MURRAY DARLING 55 - Planning Proposal State Electorate : LEP Type : Policy **Location Details** Street : Suburb : City : Wentworth Postcode : Land Parcel : Land within zones RU1 Primary Production and zone E3 Environmental Management under the Wentworth LEP 2011 **DoP Planning Officer Contact Details** Jenna McNabb Contact Name : 0268412180 Contact Number : Contact Email : jenna.mcnabb@planning.nsw.gov.au **RPA Contact Details** Contact Name : **Michele Bos** 0350275027 Contact Number : Contact Email : michele.bos@wentworth.nsw.gov.au **DoP Project Manager Contact Details** Nita Scott Contact Name : 0268412180 Contact Number : Contact Email : nita.scott@planning.nsw.gov.au Land Release Data Growth Centre : Release Area Name : Regional / Sub Consistent with Strategy : Regional Strategy :

MDD Number		Date of Release :			
MDP Number :					
Area of Release (Ha)		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :			
No. of Lots :	0	No. of Dwellings (where relevant) :	0		
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created :	0		
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with :	Yes				
If No, comment :					
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No				
If Yes, comment :	There have been no known meeting	ngs or communications with r	egistered lobbyists.		
Supporting notes					
Internal Supporting Notes :	A report was tabled at Council's Ordinary Meeting held 16 December 2016 seeking approval to submit a planning proposal to remove Clause 4.2B(4) from the Wentworth Local Environmental Plan 2011.				
	The basis for the preparation of the planning proposal to remove Clause 4.2B(4) was to ensure that the provision did not expire, which would result in the permanent loss of dwelling entitlements on former rural lots that were created for the purpose of a dwelling and existing holdings. The proposal also included the removal of the sunset/grandfather clause of Items 1 – 4 from Schedule 1 of the LEP which expires at the same time as Clause 4.2B(4).				
	The Department raised concerns with the proposed removal of the sunset clause, due to the possibility of significant unplanned rural residential development and the fact that Wentworth does not have an endorsed rural land use strategy in place.				
	The subject planning proposal was considered at Council's 17 February 2016 meeting to extend the sunset clause provision in clause 4.2B(4) and Schedule 1 for twelve months, rather than remove the clause in its entirety.				
	Clause 4.2B(4):				
	The removal of Clause 4.2B(4) in i particular, the number of vacant fo government area are unknown. Th the demand on Council services, s proposals have provided a signific	ormer rural lots and existing h nis may result in unplanned ru such as roads, waste and wate	oldings within the local ral settlement, increasing er/sewer. Recent planning		
	Given these concerns, it is consid				
	additional twelve months (expiring completed. This will allow for Cou implications of the removal of Cla	ncil to investigate and proper	ly consider the		
	The proposed extension of the su Strategy, including a robust rural land supply (including the recent preferred localities for rural reside	and residential component cle rezoning of land) and an estim	early identifying the current		

Schedule 1:

The removal of the sunset provision from Items 1 - 4 would allow the listed developments to proceed with consent in perpetuity. Developments that have been completed with development consent that are listed in Schedule 1 will not be affected by the amendment. It is proposed to extend the sunset provisions within these items to expire at the same time as Clause 4.2B(4).

Council has requested authorisation to undertake plan making delegations. This is considered appropriate.

External Supporting Notes :

Adequacy Assessment

Statement of the objectives - s55(2)(a)

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The Statement of Objectives clearly identifies the objective of the planning proposal is to ensure that the sunset provision in Clause 4.2B(4) and Schedule 1 is extended until 16 December 2017.

Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The explanation of provisions clearly state the outcome of the planning proposal will be achieved by amending Schedule 1 and Clause 4.2B(4).

Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :	1.2 Rural Zones
* May need the Director General's agreement	1.5 Rural Lands

Is the Director General's agreement required? No

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified? SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008

e) List any other matters that need to	Section 117 Directions:			
be considered :	- Direction 1.2 - Rural zones The proposal does not rezone rural land, however it does contain provisions that will increase the permissible density of land within a rural zone, through the acknowledgement of the dwelling entitlements of existing holdings and former rural lots for an additional twelve months.			
	There is no strategy which is applicable to the Wentworth LGA, however it is to be noted that Council is currently preparing a rural land use strategy. The strategy is not			
	anticipated to be finalised prior to the expiration of the sunset clause on 16 December 2016.			
	The proposal does increase the opportunity for additional unplanned rural residential development within the LGA, however provision for this has already been considered during the Standard Instrument making process with the addition of the sunset clause in both clause 4.2B(4) and also the items in Schedule 1. It is considered that the acknowledgement of the existing holdings, former rural lots and additional permitted			

	uses in Schedule 1 for an additional twelve months while the draft rural land use strategy is completed is considered minor in nature and the proposal is therefore considered to be consistent with this direction. It will also permit additional community consultation about this issue.		
	- Direction 1.5 - Rural Lands The planning proposal does not contain provisions that will reduce the minimum lo of any land within a rural zone.		
	The proposal is considered to be consistent with the direction, as the extension of the sunset provisions is only for an additional twelve months to facilitate the completion of the draft rural land use strategy.		
Have inconsistencies v	with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? Yes		
If No, explain :			
lapping Provided ·	- s55(2)(d)		
Is mapping provided? I	Νο		
Comment :	There are no changes to any mapping as part of this proposal.		
Community consul	tation - s55(2)(e)		
Has community consul	tation been proposed? Yes		
Comment :	Council has proposed a community consultation period of 2 weeks. It is considered that a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance.		
Additional Director	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance.		
Additional Director	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements		
Additional Director Are there any additiona If Yes, reasons :	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown		
Additional Director Are there any additiona If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy o	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown		
Additional Director Are there any additiona If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy o	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal		
Additional Director Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy o Does the proposal mee If No, comment :	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes		
Additional Director Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy o Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Pposal Assessment	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes		
Additional Director Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy o Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Doosal Assessment	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes		
Additional Director Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy o Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP:	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes		
Additional Director Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Doesal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP :	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes t The Wentworth LEP 2011 was notified on 16 December 2011.		
Additional Director Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Overall adequacy of Does the proposal meet If No, comment : Oposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation to Principal LEP : Assessment Criteri	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes t The Wentworth LEP 2011 was notified on 16 December 2011. a		
Additional Director Are there any additional If Yes, reasons : Dverall adequacy o Does the proposal mee If No, comment : Doposal Assessment Principal LEP: Due Date : Comments in relation	a period of 28 days is more appropriate in this instance. General's requirements al Director General's requirements? Unknown f the proposal et the adequacy criteria? Yes t The Wentworth LEP 2011 was notified on 16 December 2011.		

Council ultimately wishes to reinstate the provisions of the LEP 1993, where dwelling

	entitlements of vacant existing holdings and former rural lots are held in perpetuity.
	There may be significant implications if this was to occur, including servicing unplanned rural settlement which has not been considered in a strategic context. The extension of the sunset provisions will allow for Council to finalise the draft Strategy, and consider the implications of dwelling entitlements in perpetuity in rural areas.
	Recently Council has been successful in rezoning a significant portion of land for living purposes across the LGA. It is considered that Council requires additional time to further justify the requirement for perpetual rural dwelling entitlements in the LGA, which is why the extension of the sunset clause is considered appropriate at this time.
Consistency with strategic planning	Wentworth does not have an endorsed Land Use Strategy.
framework :	Council is currently in the process of completing the draft rural land use strategy, which will allow Council to consider future demand and preferred locations for additional rural residential development.
	The Department can support an extension of the sunset provisions for an additional twelve months to allow Council to consider the strategic implications of providing dwelling entitlements in perpetuity.
Environmental social economic impacts :	The environmental, social and economic impacts of the extension of the sunset provisions are unknown, as the exact number of dwelling entitlements is unknown.
	These impacts will be required to be assessed on a case by case basis at the development application stage for a dwelling on the sites listed in Schedule 1, and the lots identified as existing holdings or former rural lots.

Assessment Process

Proposal type :	Consistent		Community Consultation Period :	28 Days	
Timeframe to make LEP :	9 months		Delegation	RPA	
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2)(d)				×	
Is Public Hearing by the PAC required? No		No			
(2)(a) Should the matter proceed ? Yes		Yes			
If no, provide reasons :					
Resubmission - s56(2)(b) : No					
If Yes, reasons :					
Identify any additional studies, if required.					
If Other, provide reasons	:				
Identify any internal consultations, if required					
No internal consultation required					

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

Documents Document File Name Is Public Planning Proposal.pdf Proposal Yes

Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

S.117 directions: 1.2 Rural Zones 1.5 Rural Lands

Additional Information : That Gateway determines to support the Planning Proposal, subject to the following conditions:

1. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") for 28 days.

2. No consultation with public authorities is required.

3. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).

4. The planning proposal is to be finalised within 9 months of the Gateway Determination date.

5. Wentworth Shire Council is authorised to exercise plan making delegations.

Supporting Reasons :

The extension of the sunset clause provision ensures that the existing dwelling entitlements for former rural lots and existing holdings in certain rural and environmental protection zones and items 1 - 4 in Schedule 1 are retained for an additional twelve months. This will allow for the completion of the draft rural land use strategy and further investigation of any potential impacts of either permanently removing the clause or allowing the expiry of the clause to occur.

The Director Regions, Western can use delegation in this instance to extend the sunset clause provisions for twelve months while the draft rural strategy is completed.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Endoned Wyamsey TL 22/2/11

Date: